

05 March 2014

Reference: 6863523023
always quote in any communication with POPLA

(Appellant)

-v-

Premier Parking Solutions Ltd (Operator)

The Operator issued parking charge notice number 1020494 arising out of the presence at on 07/12/2013, of a vehicle with registration mark

The Appellant appealed against liability for the parking charge.

The Assessor considered the evidence of both parties and determined that the appeal be **refused**.

The Assessor's reasons are as set out.

In order to avoid any further action by the operator, payment of the £100 parking charge should be made within 14 days.

Details of how to pay will appear on previous correspondence from the operator.

Reasons for the Assessor's Determination

At 13:43 on the 7th December 2013, the operative observed a grey Hyundai with registration mark _____ parked at _____. A parking charge notice was issued to the appellant's vehicle for failing to park in an allocated bay.

The operator's case is that the driver is parked on a roadway of the car park and is therefore not parked within the markings of a bay. The terms and conditions state that vehicles must be parked within the marked bays and therefore if the car park was full and he was unable to comply with the terms and conditions; the driver should not have purchased parking time.

The appellant's case is that he paid for a ticket and although it was busy in the car park, he parked in what he believed to be a bay. The appellant states that the lines are poorly maintained and it is not clear what lines are correct. The appellant additionally states that the charge is excessive given